Clustering multivariate functional data defined on random domains: an application to vehicle trajectories analysis
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Abstract:

With the recent development of sensing devices, more and more data are recorded continuously (or at least at high frequency) through time and space. These measures lead to large amount of data, called functional data. We retrieve functional data in large variety of domains. For example, in biology, growth curves have probably been the first dataset considered as functional data [3]. But, one can find application in physics (spectroscopy), economics (index evolution), music (sound recognition), medicine (electroencephalography comparison), and so on. Lately, multivariate functional data have been considered. For instance, one can cite two famous examples from Ramsey and Silverman [2], gait cycle data and Canadian weather data. Moreover, the automotive industry also generates large volume of functional data. In particular, vehicle trajectories, which are our case of interest, could be described like that. Functional data analysis (FDA) develops the theory and statistical methodology for studying such data. So, FDA is the analysis of data that are, in a general manner, objects that can be represented by functions. Thus, by analogy with multivariate data analysis where an observation is represented by a random vector of scalars, in FDA, an observation is a random vector of functions. Hence, functional data are intrinsically infinite dimensional. However, we can not generally observe directly the functions but only a discretization of the functions over a fixed or random grid of points.

Now, recall our case of interest: vehicle trajectories. Nowadays, a vehicle records a lot of information about its environment through its different sensors (camera, radar, lidar). More particularly, it registers some characteristics about vehicles around him at high frequency. These characteristics can be the longitudinal and lateral position, the acceleration, the size, the type of the vehicle for instance. All the information are recorded relatively to the considered vehicle (also known as EGO car). Define a driving scene as a small period of time, say $T$, during which we record the environment of the EGO car. This environment is constituted by a certain number of vehicles, say $P$, whose one records a certain number of characteristics for each vehicle, say $D$. However, we do not assume that all of the $P$ vehicles are recorded on the complete interval $T$, but only on a random compact subset of $T$. So, an observation of a scene can be represented as a random vector of functions:

$$Z = \left( Z^{(1)}, \ldots, Z^{(P)} \right), \quad \text{where} \quad \forall i \in [1, P], Z^{(i)} : T^{(i)} \subset T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^D.$$ 

Moreover, $Z^{(i)}$ is assumed to be in $L^2(T^{(i)})$ for all $i \in [1, P]$. So, realizations of $Z$ are multivariate functional data which are defined on different domains. The analysis of such data is performed in three major steps: smoothing, dimension reduction and then clustering.

The smoothing step has two major goals. The first one is to remove the eventual noise in the measurements because the sensors are not perfect and they can not retrieve exactly the reality.
Secondly, as the functions are defined on different domains, we use change-of-methods to put them on a common interval, for instance $[0, 1]$. Here, the smoothing is performed to resampled the functions on a common grid such that they will be comparable.

In a second time, dimension reduction is done using multivariate functional principal components analysis [1]. The idea is to write all the observations of the scenes into a common multivariate basis of functions. In fact, the multivariate version of the Karhunen-Loève decomposition told us that:

$$Z(t) = \mu(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \Phi_j(t),$$

where $\mu = (\mathbb{E}(Z^{(1)}), \ldots, \mathbb{E}(Z^{(P)}))$ is the mean vector of each function, $\{\Phi_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ are the multivariate eigenfunctions found by an eigenanalysis of the covariance operator of $Z$ and the $c_j$ are the projection of $Z$ onto $\Phi_j$. In practice, we truncate the Karhunen-Loève expansion at $M$ terms. This truncation is the optimal approximation of $Z$ of dimension $M$. Usually, $M$ is chosen to explain a certain percentage of variance (95% or 99% generally) of the data.

So, our multivariate functions $Z$ are summarized by $M$ coefficients. And the clustering step follows directly from that. Classical clustering algorithms are launched on the set of coefficient with a particular metric which take into account the variability of the data in the coefficients.

An algorithm is proposed to analyze vehicle trajectories data using such a methodology.
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